UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,892	10/29/2008	David Francis Corbett	PR60416USW	PR60416USW 8622	
23347 GLAXOSMITH	7590 09/14/200 HKLINE	EXAMINER			
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482			BIANCHI, KRISTIN A		
	FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2009	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		392	CORBETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
	KRISTIN	BIANCHI	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 18 May 2006						
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 May 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.						
′ <u> </u>	/ <del></del>						
•	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practi	se under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 4.	J3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 33-37</u> is/are pe	ending in the applica	ition.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	icat ta vactuiation and	l/an alastian naguinanas	-4				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 33-37</u> are subj	ect to restriction and	i/or election requiremer	nt.				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Tr) The datir of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	: Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	'TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-25, 27 and 33-37 are pending in the instant application and are subject to the following lack of unity requirement.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-25 and 27, drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions comprising compounds of formula (I).

Group II, claims 33 and 34, drawn to a method of using a compound of formula (I).

Group III, claim 35, drawn to a method of preparing enantiomerically enriched-(trans)-ethyl-2-(4-amino-aryl)-cyclopropanecarboxylates.

Group IV, claims 36 and 37, drawn to compounds of formula IIId and formula IIIe.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-IV include compounds of different formulas (i.e., formulae (I), IIId and IIIe) which do not have a common core structure within the chemical structure of each compound. For example, the compounds of formula (I) consist of -OH and -NR2R3 for A whereas the compounds of formulas IIId and IIIe consist of OEt. Also, the compounds of formulas IIId and IIIe do not have the substituents X2, Y or Z in their structure.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Therefore, there is not a special technical feature present which links the claims as defined by PCT Rule 13.2.

Accordingly, Groups I-IV are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

Art Unit: 1626

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## **Election of Species**

Applicant is further required to elect a single disclosed species within the elected group and to provide the structure of the elected species. For example, if Group I is elected for prosecution, Applicant must disclose a single compound of formula (I) (i.e., a compound from claim 25). A single disclosed species is also required if any of Groups II-IV is elected for prosecution.

The species are as follows: the various structurally different compounds of formula (I) (i.e., a compound of formula (I) wherein A is -OH is structurally different than a compound of formula (I) wherein A is -NR2R3) and the structurally different compounds of formulas IIId and IIIe.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: the compounds of formula (I) correspond to claims 1-25, 27 and 33-35, the compound of formula IIId corresponds to claim 36 and the compound of formula IIIe corresponds to claim 37.

The following claims are generic: 1-25, 27 and 33-35.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: for example, a compound of formula (I) wherein A is -OH is structurally different than a compound of formula (I) wherein A is –NR2R3, therefore, these compounds are different species or they lack the same core structure or special technical feature. Also, the compounds of claims 36 and 37 are structurally different, therefore, they are different species or they lack the same core structure or special technical feature.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KRISTIN BIANCHI whose telephone number is (571)270-5232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7am-3:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,892 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kamal A Saeed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 Kristin Bianchi Examiner Art Unit 1626

\*\*\*